

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY  
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE  
LITIGATION

MDL. No. 1456

CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS

Judge Patti B. Saris

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL  
ACTIONS

**MOTION TO ADD B. BRAUN MEDICAL, INC. AS A DEFENDANT**

**FILED UNDER SEAL**

Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, respectfully request that this Court enter an order adding B. Braun Medical, Inc. ("BBM") as defendant in this action. In support thereof, plaintiffs state as follows:

1. On September 6, 2002, plaintiffs filed the Master Consolidated Complaint ("MCC"), naming BBM as a defendant. On May 13, 2003 this Court dismissed BBM as a party without prejudice. On December 5, 2003, plaintiffs filed the Amended Master Consolidated Complaint ("AMCC") and named B. Braun of America, Inc. ("BBA") as a defendant. BBA is the parent corporation of BBM. In the AMCC, BBM was inadvertently omitted as a defendant.

2. While, as set forth in their contemporaneously-filed Supplement to their opposition to BBA's motion to dismiss the AMCC ("Supplement"), plaintiffs believe that BBA is a proper party to this litigation, during the recent deposition of BBA's 30(b)(6) designee, it



became clear that BBM is a proper party to this litigation as well. Namely, BBM manufactures at least some of the AWPIDs in the AMCC.<sup>1</sup> Ex.1 (Codrea Tr., at 50:4-14).

3. Rule 21 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides in pertinent part:

Misjoinder of parties is not ground for dismissal of an action. Parties may be dropped or added by order of the court on motion of any party or of its own initiative at any stage of the action and on such terms as are just.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 21. It is well settled in this District that “[a] party may resort to Rule 21 to add a party who for some innocent reason has not been made a party to the action and whose presence is necessary or desirable.” *Data Gen. Corp. v. Grumman Sys. Support Corp.*, 825 F. Supp. 340, 344 (D. Mass. 1993).

4. In addition, Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that a party may be added by an amendment that relates back to the original pleading, where:

the party to be brought in by amendment (A) has received such notice of the institution of the action that the party will not be prejudiced in maintaining a defense on the merits, and (B) knew or should have known that, but for a mistake concerning the identity of the proper party, the action would have been brought against the party.

See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(c)(3). Moreover, under the judicially-created identity-of-interest doctrine, “a new party may be added ‘when the original and added parties are so closely related in business or other activities that it is fair to presume the added parties learned of the institution of the action shortly after it was commenced.’” *Moses v. Joint Frost, M/V*, C.A. No.

---

<sup>1</sup> As defined in Plaintiffs’ Amended First Request for Production of Documents to Astrazeneca, Aventis, Dey, Fujisawa, Abbott, Baxter, Boehringer, Braun, BMS, GSK, Immunex, Pharmacia, Schering-Plough and Watson dated June 19, 2003, AWPID is defined as “AWP Inflated Drugs,” and describes the drugs set forth in Appendix A of the AMCC. See Ex. 2 (Plaintiffs’ Amended First Request for Production of Documents to Astrazeneca, Aventis, Dey, Fujisawa, Abbott, Baxter, Boehringer, Braun, BMS, GSK, Immunex, Pharmacia, Schering-Plough and Watson, at 3).

91-11247-WF, 1993 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5678, at \*4 (D. Mass. Apr. 21, 1993) (Wolf, J.) (quoting *Jimenez v. Toledo*, 604 F.2d 99, 102 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1979)) (holding doctrine was satisfied where original party was alleged to have been “owned, operated, and controlled” by added party).<sup>2</sup> “The substitution of such [identity-of-interest] parties . . . is not significant when the change is merely formal and in no way alters the known facts and issues on which the action is based.” *Young v. Lepone*, 305 F.3d 1, 15 (1st Cir. 2002) (citations omitted).

5. As explained more fully in plaintiffs’ Supplement, the AMCC allegations against BBA are substantially similar to the MCC allegations against BBM. Moreover, because of the closely intertwined relationship between BBA and BBM, and the fact that BBM was named in the MCC, BBM should have had notice of the claims in the AMCC. Indeed, BBA’s 30(b)(6) designee (also BBM’s Assistant General Counsel, Assistant Secretary, and Corporate Compliance Officer, *see* Ex. 1 (Codrea Tr., at 7:8-20)), stated that some of the drugs at issue in this litigation were manufactured by BBM and therefore, as an officer of both BBA and BBM, knew that BBM was one of the proper B. Braun parties to this litigation. Therefore, BBM should have known that this action would be brought against it and will suffer no prejudice by being added. Accordingly, pursuant to Rules 15 and 21, BBM should be added as a proper defendant.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons more fully set forth in plaintiffs’ Supplement, plaintiffs respectfully seek leave to amend its complaint to add B. Braun Medical, Inc. as a defendant and relate the amendment back to the filing of the AMCC, and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

---

<sup>2</sup> For the Court’s convenience, plaintiffs have provided a copy of this unreported decision in an attached Appendix.

DATED this 24th day of August 2004.

By: Steve B. Berman (Hm)

Thomas M. Sobol (BBO # 471770)  
Edward Notargiacomo (BBO #567636)  
Hagens Berman LLP  
One Main Street, 4th Floor  
Cambridge, MA 02142  
Telephone: (617) 482-3700  
Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

**LIAISON COUNSEL**

Steve W. Berman  
Sean R. Matt  
Hagens Berman LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Samuel Heins  
Brian Williams  
Heins Mills & Olson, P.L.C.  
3550 IDS Center  
80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
Telephone: (612) 338-4605  
Facsimile: (612) 338-4692

Jeff Kodroff  
John Macoretta  
Spector, Roseman & Kodroff, P.C.  
1818 Market Street, Suite 2500  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: (215) 496-0300  
Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

**CHAIRS OF LEAD COUNSEL  
COMMITTEE**

Marc H. Edelson  
Alan Hoffman  
Hoffman & Edelson  
45 West Court Street  
Doylestown, PA 18901  
Telephone: (215) 230-8043  
Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

Kenneth A. Wexler  
Elizabeth Fegan Hartweg  
The Wexler Firm LLP  
One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000  
Chicago, IL 60602  
Telephone: (312) 346-2222  
Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

**MEMBERS OF LEAD COUNSEL  
COMMITTEE AND EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE**

Michael McShane  
Alexander, Hawes & Audet, LLP  
300 Montgomery Street, Suite 400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 982-1886  
Facsimile: (415) 576-1776

Robert E. Piper, Jr.  
Piper & Associates  
624 Pierre Avenue  
Shreveport, LA 71103  
Telephone: (318) 226-0826  
Facsimile: (318) 424-9900

**MEMBERS OF EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE**

Anthony Bolognese  
Bolognese & Associates  
One Penn Center  
1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 650  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: (215) 814-6750  
Fax: (215) 814-6764

Jonathan W. Cuneo  
The Cuneo Law Group  
317 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E.  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
Tel: (202) 789-3960  
Fax: (202) 789-1813

Neal Goldstein (Of Counsel)  
Freedman & Lorry, PC  
400 Market Street, Suit 900  
Philadelphia, PA 19106  
Tel: (215) 925-8400  
Fax: (215) 925-7516

Michael E. Criden  
Hanzman & Criden, PA  
Commerce Bank Center, Suite 400  
220 Alhambra Circle  
Coral Gables, FL 33134  
Tel: (305) 357-9000  
Fax: (305) 357-9050

Blake M. Harper  
Kirk B. Hulett  
Hulett Harper LLP  
550 West C Street, Suite 1700  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Tel: (619) 338-1133  
Fax: (619) 338-1139

Jonathan D. Karmel  
Karmel & Gilden  
221 N. LaSalle Street  
Suite 1414  
Chicago, IL 60601  
Tel: (312) 641-2910  
Fax: (312) 641-0781

Dianne M. Nast  
Roda & Nast, PC  
801 Estelle Drive  
Lancaster, PA 17601  
Tel: (717) 892-3000  
Fax: (717) 892-1200

Henry H. Rossbacher  
Rossbacher & Associates  
811 Wilshire Boulevard,  
Suite 1650  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-2666  
Tel: (213) 895-6500  
Fax: (213) 895-6161

Jonathan Shub  
Sheller, Ludwig & Badey, P.C.  
1528 Walnut Street, 3rd fl  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
Tel: (215) 790-7300  
Fax: (215) 546-0942

Scott R. Shepherd  
Shepherd & Finkleman, LLC  
117 Gayley Street, Suite 200  
Media, PA 19063  
Tel: (610) 891-9880  
Fax: (610) 891-9883

Lisa J. Rodriguez  
Ira Neil Richards  
Trujillo Rodriguez & Richards, LLC  
The Penthouse  
226 West Rittenhouse Square  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: (215) 731-9004  
Fax: (215) 731-9044

Mitchell A. Toups  
Weller, Green, Toups & Terrell, L.L.P.  
2615 Calder Street, Suite 400  
P.O. Box 350  
Beaumont, TX 77704  
Tel: (409) 838-0101  
Fax: 409-838-6780

Damon Young  
Lance Lee  
Young, Pickett & Lee  
4122 Texas Boulevard  
P.O. Box 1897  
Texarkana, AR/TX 75504  
Tel: (903) 794-1303  
Fax: 903-792-5098; 903-794-5098

**ADDITIONAL ATTORNEYS FOR  
PLAINTIFFS**




**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Docket No. MDL 1456

I, Steve W. Berman, hereby certify that I am one of plaintiffs' attorneys and that, on August 24, 2004, I caused copies of MOTION TO ADD B. BRAUN MEDICAL, INC. AS A DEFENDANT to be served by facsimile and Federal Express on:

Daniel F. Attridge  
Kirkland & Ellis, LLP  
655 Fifteenth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
Facsimile: (202) 879-5200

Dated: August 24, 2004

  
Steve W. Berman